

## Indefinite Articles and Licensing of Bare Nominals in Two Slavic Varieties

Marija Runić

*University of Banja Luka*

This paper addresses the rise and the status of the indefinite article in Resian, an endangered Slavic variety spoken in the province of Udine, and analyzes it within the broader question of nominal syntax-semantic map.

The Resian data are somewhat intricate since Resian distinguishes overtly between modified (2) and unmodified nominal expressions (1), independently of their status as either definite or indefinite, and requires the obligatory use of a determiner only with modified nouns (2). The relevant nominal expressions (NE) are underlined.

- (1) Matë otroke? (non-specific indefinite, plural)  
 have<sub>2PL</sub> children  
 'Do you have children?'
- (2) Wsën našën judin awgurawamö ne lipe fjëšte. (non-specific indefinite, plural)  
 to.all our people wish<sub>1PL</sub> a<sub>PL</sub> nice holidays  
 'We wish happy holidays to all our people!'

Besides, the above asymmetry intersects with another asymmetry that concerns the definiteness status of a NE: whereas unmodified indefinite NEs require the mandatory use of an indefinite determiner (with the exception of plural non-specific indefinites), the definite unmodified NEs are always bare. The distributional facts of Resian NEs are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 Distribution of unmodified and modified NEs in Resian

	UNMODIFIED NOUNS		MODIFIED NOUNS	
	Singular	Plural/Dual	Singular	Plural/Dual
<b>Definite</b>	Bare	Bare	Definite determiner	Definite determiner
<b>Indefinite Specific</b>	Indefinite determiner	Bare/Indefinite determiner	Indefinite determiner	Indefinite determiner
<b>Indefinite non-specific</b>	Indefinite determiner	Bare	Indefinite determiner	Indefinite determiner
<b>Kind-denoting (generic)</b>	Bare	Bare	Definite determiner	Definite determiner

First, based on two types of criteria (semantic and syntactic), I claim that Resian has a fully-fledged indefinite article. Semantically wise, Resian indefinite determiners occur in non-referential contexts, which Givon (1981) further partitions into predicative (3), generic (4) and non-referential in the scope of negation or modals (5) (see also Geist 2013). Or in line with Chierchia's (1998), a language lexicalizes  $\exists$  if an indefinite article is able to function generically (4) and have a narrow

scope reading (6). As regards the syntactic criterion, the use of the indefinite article is obligatory in all contexts, except for the plural non-specific indefinites (Table 1).

- (3) Ko si bil ä dan mali [...]   
 when aux<sub>1SG</sub> was I a small   
 ‘When I was a child[...].’
- (4) [...] ni so paršly pošlušet ano videt kako se paraćawa no tražmišjun.   
 they aux came hear and see how imp. make a program   
 ‘They came to hear and see how a program is made.’
- (5) [...] litus be tēli radē organizāt no ġito za poznāt kakē lipē mēstu   
 this.summer would<sub>1PL</sub> like gladly organize a trip to know some nice place   
 ‘This summer we would like to organize a trip in order to get to know some nice places.’
- (6) Skorē wsaka iša ma no televižjun, aliböj no radio [...]   
 almost every house has a television or a radio   
 ‘In almost every house there is either a television or a radio [...]’ every house > ∃

Second, I claim that the asymmetry between modified and unmodified NEs is only apparent (and accidental), since licensing conditions on definite and indefinite NEs differ in Resian. The definite D has to be licensed either by Merger of the definite article in the head of D (and hence the obligatory use of the definite article with definite modified NEs), or by the presence of the appropriate lexical material, endowed with (pro)nominal features in a local relation with D (Spec-head), and hence the use of bare definite NEs. On the other hand, the indefinite article is always mandatory. Null bare indefinites have fixed semantics; occur only as plural/mass and non-specific, and are therefore possible only if under or within the scope of the licensing operator.

Lastly, considerations on nominal syntax-semantics map in Resian will be extended to Molise Croatian. It has been claimed that this variety has a full-blown indefinite article (Breu 2005), whereas it has no definite articles. Furthermore, there exists no asymmetry between modified and unmodified nominal expressions of the sort encountered in Resian. These facts will be revisited in view of the mechanisms responsible for the licensing of determinerless nominals.

**References:** Breu, Walter (2005) Il sistema degli articoli nello slavo molisano (SLM): eccezione a un universale tipologico. In W. Breu (ed.) *L’influsso dell’italiano sulla grammatica delle lingue minoritarie (problemi morfologici e sintattici)*. Cosenza/Rende: Centro Editoriale, 111-139. Chierchia, Gennaro (1998). Reference to kinds across languages. *Natural Language Semantics* 6: 339-405; Geist, Ljudmila (2013). Bulgarian *edin*: the rise of an indefinite article. In: U. Junghanns, D. Fehrmann, D. Lenertová, & H. Pitsch, (Eds.) *Formal Description of Slavic Languages: The Ninth Conference. Proceedings of FDSL 9, Göttingen 2011*. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 125-148; Givón, Talmy (1981): On the development of the numeral ‘one’ as an indefinite marker. *Folia Linguistica Historica* II.1: 35-53.